arXiv:1403.5378v2 [math.CO] 25 Mar 2014

EHRHART SERIES, UNIMODALITY, AND INTEGRALLY CLOSED REFLEXIVE POLYTOPES

BENJAMIN BRAUN AND ROBERT DAVIS

Abstract. An interesting open problem in Ehrhart theory is to classify those lattice polytopes having a unimodal h^*-vector. Although various sufficient conditions have been found, necessary conditions remain a challenge. In this paper, we consider integrally closed reflexive polytopes and discuss an operation that preserves reflexivity, integral closure, and unimodality of the h^*-vector, providing one explanation for why unimodality occurs in this setting. We also discuss the special case of reflexive simplices.

1. Introduction

For a lattice polytope $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ of dimension d, consider the counting function $|m\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^n|$, where $m\mathcal{P}$ is the m-th dilate of $\mathcal{P}$. The *Ehrhart series* of $\mathcal{P}$ is

$$E_{\mathcal{P}}(t) := 1 + \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} |m\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| t^m \, .$$

Combining two well-known theorems due to Ehrhart [9] and Stanley [22], there exist values $h_0^*, \ldots, h_d^* \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with $h_0^* = 1$ such that

$$E_{\mathcal{P}}(t) = \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{d} h_j^* t^j}{(1-t)^{d+1}} \, .$$

We say the polynomial $h_{\mathcal{P}}^*(t) := \sum_{j=0}^{d} h_j^* t^j$ is the *h^*-polynomial* of $\mathcal{P}$ (sometimes referred to as the δ-polynomial of $\mathcal{P}$) and the vector of coefficients $h^*(\mathcal{P})$ is the *h^*-vector* of $\mathcal{P}$. That $E_{\mathcal{P}}(t)$ is of this rational form with $h_{\mathcal{P}}^*(1) \neq 0$ is equivalent to $|m\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^n|$ being a polynomial function of m of degree d; the non-negativity of the h^*-vector is an even stronger property. The h^*-vector of a lattice polytope $\mathcal{P}$ is a fascinating partial invariant. Obtaining a general understanding of h^*-vectors of lattice polytopes and their geometric/combinatorial implications is currently of great interest.

Recent work has focused on determining when $h^*(\mathcal{P})$ is unimodal, that is, when there exists some k for which $h_0^* \leq \cdots \leq h_k^* \geq \cdots \geq h_d^*$. One reason combinatorialists are interested in unimodality results is that their proofs often point to interesting and unexpected properties of combinatorial, geometric, and algebraic objects. In particular, symmetric h^*-vectors play a key role in Ehrhart theory through their connection to reflexive polytopes, defined below. There are many interesting techniques for studying symmetric unimodal sequences, using tools from analysis, Lie theory, algebraic geometry, etc [23].

Date: 21 March 2014.

The first author is partially supported by the National Security Agency through award H98230-13-1-0240. The second author is partially supported by a 2013-2014 Fulbright U.S. Student Fellowship. The authors thank Benjamin Nill for useful insights that contributed to the proof of Theorem 3.2.

Definition 1.1. A lattice polytope $\mathcal{P}$ is called *reflexive* if $0 \in \mathcal{P}^\circ$ and its *(polar) dual*

$$\mathcal{P}^\Delta := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : x \cdot y \leq 1 \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{P}\}$$

is also a lattice polytope. A lattice translate of a reflexive polytope is also called reflexive.

Reflexive polytopes have been the subject of a large amount of recent research [2, 3, 5, 6, 11, 13, 18, 20]. It is known from work of Lagarias and Ziegler [17] that there are only finitely many reflexive polytopes (up to unimodular equivalence) in each dimension, with one reflexive in dimension one, 16 in dimension two, 4 319 in dimension three, and 473 800 776 in dimension four according to computations by Kreuzer and Skarke [16]. The number of five-and-higher-dimensional reflexives is unknown. One of the reasons reflexives are of interest is the following.

Theorem 1.2 (Hibi, [13])**.** A d-dimensional lattice polytope $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ containing the origin in its interior is reflexive if and only if $h^*(\mathcal{P})$ satisfies $h_i^* = h_{d-i}^*$.

Hibi [12] conjectured that every reflexive polytope has a unimodal h^*-vector. Counterexamples to this were found in dimensions 6 and higher by Mustaţă and Payne [18, 20]. However, Hibi and Ohsugi [19] also asked whether or not every normal reflexive polytope has a unimodal h^*-vector; we consider the related question for integrally closed reflexives, where integral closure is defined as follows.

Definition 1.3. A lattice polytope $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is *integrally closed* if, for every $x \in m\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$, there exist $x_1, \ldots, x_m \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $x = x_1 + \cdots + x_m$.

While the terms integrally closed and normal are often used interchangably, these are not synonymous [10]. The counterexamples found by Mustaţă and Payne are not normal, hence not integrally closed. It remains to be seen whether or not every integrally closed reflexive polytope has a unimodal h^*-vector. A stronger open question is whether or not being integrally closed is alone sufficient to imply unimodality [21]. One condition that forces a lattice polytope $\mathcal{P}$ to be integrally closed is if $\mathcal{P}$ admits a unimodular triangulation; the latter condition has been shown to imply unimodality in the reflexive case by Athanasiadis [1] and Bruns and Römer [7].

The purpose of this note is to highlight the free sum operation for reflexive polytopes in this context. Our main observation, Theorem 3.2, shows that one can produce multiple reflexive, integrally closed polytopes with unimodal h^*-vectors from two polytopes having these three properties. This provides one explanation for the presence of unimodal h^*-vectors among reflexive, integrally closed polytopes. Further, several interesting recent results and counterexamples in Ehrhart theory have involved only simplices [14, 15, 18, 20]. Thus, if one seeks a counterexample to the question of Hibi and Ohsugi, it is reasonable to begin the search in the class of reflexive simplices. With this in mind, we end by showing how the free sum operation is reflected in the type vector for a reflexive simplex.

2. Free Sums of Reflexive Polytopes

The relevant operation on polytopes that we will consider is the following. We follow the notation of [4].

Definition 2.1. Suppose $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ are lattice polytopes. Call $\mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{Q} := \text{conv}\{\mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{Q}\}$ a *free sum* if, up to unimodular equivalence, $\mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{Q} = \{0\}$ and the affine spans of $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ are orthogonal coordinate subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Example 2.2. The Reeve tetrahedron, $\mathcal{R}_h = \text{conv}\{0, e_1, e_2, e_1 + e_2 + he_3\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$, $h > 1$ an integer, *cannot* be expressed as a free sum; if it could, then the lattice generated by $\mathcal{R}_h$ would be $\mathbb{Z}^3$. However, it only generates $\mathbb{Z}^2 \times h\mathbb{Z}$.

Example 2.3. The d-cross-polytope, given by $\text{conv}\{e_1, \ldots, e_d, -e_1, \ldots, -e_d\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, is a d-fold free sum of $[-1, 1]$.

As with normality and integral closure, one must be cautious when discussing free sums; different authors sometimes use different definitions, and the validity of results may change based on which definition is used. The definition above is useful due to the following result.

Theorem 2.4. [4, Corollary 3.4] If $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ are reflexive polytopes such that $0 \in \mathcal{P}^\circ$ and $\mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{Q} = \text{conv}\{\mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{Q}\}$ is a free sum, then

$$h^*_{\mathcal{P}\oplus\mathcal{Q}}(t) = h^*_{\mathcal{P}}(t)h^*_{\mathcal{Q}}(t).$$

Our next proposition provides a method for producing reflexive polytopes from pairs of lower-dimensional reflexive polytopes.

Proposition 2.5. Suppose $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ are full-dimensional polytopes with $0 \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\{v_0, \ldots, v_k\}$ denoting the vertices of $\mathcal{Q}$. Then for each $i = 0, 1, \ldots, k$ the polytope formed by

$$\mathcal{P} *_i \mathcal{Q} := \text{conv}\{(\mathcal{P} \times 0^m) \cup (0^n \times \mathcal{Q} - v_i)\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$$

is a free sum. Moreover, if $0 \in \mathcal{P}^\circ$ and $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ are both reflexive, then $\mathcal{P} *_i \mathcal{Q}$ is also reflexive.

Proof. Since each of $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{Q} - v_i$ are full-dimensional, their affine spans are orthogonal subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$. Moreover, their intersection is 0, so the operation gives a free sum. Now we assume that both $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ are reflexive. Noting that $E_{\mathcal{Q}-v_i}(t) = E_{\mathcal{Q}}(t)$, Theorem 2.4 tells us that the numerator of $E_{\mathcal{P}*_i\mathcal{Q}}(t)$ as a rational function has degree $n+m$. This polynomial also has symmetric coefficients, since it is the product of polynomials that each have symmetric coefficients. A well-known result in Ehrhart theory tells us that the smallest dilate of $\mathcal{P} *_i \mathcal{Q}$ containing an interior lattice point is $\dim(\mathcal{P} *_i \mathcal{Q}) - (n + m - 1) = 1$. Thus, by Theorem 1.2, the constructed polytope must be reflexive. □

Geometrically, applying this operation to reflexive polytopes corresponds to fixing $\mathcal{P}$ and translating $\mathcal{Q}$ so that their intersection point is a vertex of $\mathcal{Q}$ and the unique interior point of $\mathcal{P}$. We remark that it is not clear what the relationship is between polytopes formed when using this construction on different vertices of $\mathcal{Q}$, and it is not easy to identify how a reflexive polytope might decompose as a free sum.

An important property of the $*_i$ operation is that, under appropriate constraints, it preserves being integrally closed.

Theorem 2.6. If $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ are any integrally closed polytopes with $0 \in \mathcal{P}^\circ$ and $\mathcal{P}$ reflexive, then $\mathcal{P} *_i \mathcal{Q}$ is integrally closed.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{P} *_i \mathcal{Q}$ is a free sum, we may assume that $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ intersect at the origin and $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \times 0^m$ and $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq 0^n \times \mathbb{R}^m$.

By definition, the convex hull of $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ is the set of points representable as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} \alpha_i p_i + \sum_{j=1}^{s} \beta_j q_j$$

where $p_i \in \mathcal{P}, q_j \in \mathcal{Q}$ for each i, j, and the α_i, β_j are nonnegative numbers whose total sum is 1. Form the points

$$u = \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^{r} \alpha_j} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{r} \alpha_i p_i \right), v = \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^{s} \beta_k} \left(\sum_{l=1}^{s} \beta_l q_l \right).$$

Then $u \in \mathcal{P}$ and $v \in \mathcal{Q}$. Setting $t = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \alpha_i$, their convex sum

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r} \alpha_i \right) u + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{s} \beta_j \right) v = tu + (1-t)v$$

is in $\mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{Q}$, and, in particular, is in $t\mathcal{P} \times (1-t)\mathcal{Q}$. Therefore the free sum is covered by sets of this form for $0 \leq t \leq 1$.

For the last step, let $(p, q) \in t\mathcal{P} \times (m-t)\mathcal{Q}$ where m is a positive integer, $p \in t\mathcal{P}$, and $q \in (m-t)\mathcal{Q}$. Since $\mathcal{P}$ is reflexive, p lies on the boundary of some integer scaling of $\mathcal{P}$, thus we may assume t is an integer. Hence q is in an integer scaling of $\mathcal{Q}$. By the integral closure of $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$, there are t lattice points of $\mathcal{P}$ summing to x and $m-t$ lattice points of $\mathcal{P}$ summing to y. These summands are all contained in $\mathcal{P} *_i \mathcal{Q}$, hence it is integrally closed. □

This brings us to our main observation.

Corollary 2.7. If $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ are integrally closed, reflexive polytopes with $0 \in \mathcal{P}^\circ$, then so is $\mathcal{P} *_i \mathcal{Q}$ for each i. If, in addition, $h^*(\mathcal{P})$ and $h^*(\mathcal{Q})$ are unimodal, then so is $h^*(\mathcal{P} *_i \mathcal{Q})$.

Proof. Integral closure follows from Theorem 2.6, and reflexivity follows from Proposition 2.5. By Theorem 2.4 and [23, Proposition 1], which states that the product of two polynomials with symmetric unimodal coefficients has these same properties, the last claim holds. □

3. Free Sums of Reflexive Simplices

If one wishes to search for an example of an integrally closed, reflexive polytope with a non-unimodal h^*-vector, then it is natural to first rule out those polytopes obtained as a result of Corollary 2.7. As mentioned in the introduction, reflexive simplices are a class one might focus on when searching for such a polytope. It is helpful in this case to consider an algorithm, due to Conrads [8], for producing all reflexive simplices.

The algorithm assigns to each reflexive simplex a *type* in the following way: let $v_0, \ldots, v_n$ be an ordering of its vertices, and construct $Q = (q_0, \ldots, q_n)$ by setting

$$q_i = \left| \det \begin{pmatrix} | & | & \cdots & | & \cdots & | \\ v_0 & v_1 & \cdots & \widehat{v_i} & \cdots & v_n \\ | & | & \cdots & | & \cdots & | \end{pmatrix} \right|.$$

Note that reordering Q corresponds to performing this same process to a unimodularly equivalent simplex. Thus, we may assume that Q is nondecreasing. Setting $\lambda = \gcd(q_0, \ldots, q_n)$ and $Q_{red} = \frac{1}{\lambda}Q$, we say the reflexive simplex has *type* (Q_{red}, λ). We note that the simplices of type $(Q_{red}, 1)$ are exactly those such that $Q = (q_0, \ldots, q_n)$ is a sequence of positive, nondecreasing integers where

$$\gcd(q_0, \ldots, q_n) = 1 \text{ and } q_i \text{ divides } \sum_{j=0}^{n} q_j \text{ for each } i \in \{0, \ldots, n\}\,. \tag{1}$$

In this case, each of these vectors corresponds to a unique reflexive simplex, which we denote Δ_Q. To construct the reflexive simplices of a fixed dimension, we first construct all Q satisfying (1) and form the corresponding Δ_Q. The remaining simplices in this dimension are found by performing various operations on the Δ_Q.

For any reflexive simplex, we call Q_{red} the *reduced weight* of the simplex, and a simplex with this reduced weight has the property that

$$\sum_i \frac{q_i}{\sum_\beta q_\beta} v_i = 0\,.$$

This follows from scaling the equality

$$\sum_i q_i v_i = 0\,,$$

which itself follows from Cramer's rule. Note that because there are $n+1$ of the v_i's in n-dimensional space, the coefficients of the above sum are uniquely determined up to scaling. Thus, the Q_{red} vector of a reflexive simplex is the particular choice of coefficients for this sum that satisfies the divisibility condition (1).

Example 3.1. The weight $Q = (1, 1, 1, \ldots, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ corresponds to the polytope

$$\Delta_Q = \operatorname{conv}\{e_1, \ldots, e_n, -\sum_i e_i\}\,,$$

which is often called the *standard reflexive simplex of minimal volume*. Note that the sum of these vertices, each weighted by 1, is equal to zero. It is well known that one can demonstrate that this polytope is integrally closed by showing that it has a unimodular triangulation, specifically the triangulation whose facets consist of those simplices that are the convex hull of the origin and all but one of the vertices of Δ_Q.

When the free sum operation $*_i$ is applied to reflexive simplices, then the resulting polytope is also a reflexive simplex. This operation has a corresponding interpretation in terms of the types of the summands.

Theorem 3.2. If $\mathcal{P} = \operatorname{conv}\{v_0, \ldots, v_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathcal{Q} = \operatorname{conv}\{w_0, \ldots, w_m\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ are full-dimensional reflexive simplices of types $((p_0, \ldots, p_n), \lambda)$ and $((q_0, \ldots, q_m), \mu)$, respectively, then $\mathcal{P} *_i \mathcal{Q}$ is a reflexive simplex of type

$$\left(\frac{1}{d}(q_i p_0, q_i p_1, \ldots, q_i p_n, sq_0, sq_1, \ldots, \widehat{sq_i}, \ldots, sq_m), d\right),$$

where $s = \sum_{j=0}^{n} p_j$ and $d = \gcd(q_i, \sum_{j=0}^{n} p_j)$.

Proof. For notational convenience, we identify $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ with their embeddings in $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$. Before the embedding, we know from the weights of $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{p_j}{\sum p_\alpha} v_j = 0 \text{ and } \sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{q_k}{\sum q_\beta} w_k = 0\,.$$

After the embedding, the translation of $\mathcal{Q}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ results in

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{q_k}{\sum q_\beta}(w_k - w_i) = -w_i\,.$$

Therefore, on the vertices of the free sum, we see

$$\begin{aligned} -w_i &= \frac{q_i}{\sum q_\beta}(w_i - w_i) + \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ k\neq i}}^{m} \frac{q_k}{\sum q_\beta}(w_k - w_i) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n} \left(\frac{q_i}{\sum q_\beta} \cdot \frac{p_j}{\sum p_\alpha} \right) v_j + \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ k\neq i}}^{m} \frac{q_k}{\sum q_\beta}(w_k - w_i)\,, \end{aligned}$$

giving us the unique interior point of the simplex. Thus, Q_{red} for $\mathcal{P} *_i \mathcal{Q}$ is given by a scaling of the vector

$$\left(\frac{q_i}{\sum q_\beta} \cdot \frac{p_0}{\sum p_\alpha}, \frac{q_i}{\sum q_\beta} \cdot \frac{p_1}{\sum p_\alpha}, \ldots, \frac{q_i}{\sum q_\beta} \cdot \frac{p_n}{\sum p_\alpha}, \frac{q_0}{\sum q_\beta}, \frac{q_1}{\sum q_\beta}, \ldots, \widehat{\frac{q_i}{\sum q_\beta}}, \ldots, \frac{q_m}{\sum q_\beta} \right)\,.$$

Scaling this vector by $(\sum p_\alpha)(\sum q_\beta)$ and dividing by $\gcd(q_i, \sum_{j=0}^{n} p_j)$, we obtain an integer vector that satisfies (1). Thus, this is our desired Q_{red}. To find the full Q vector for $\mathcal{P} *_i \mathcal{Q}$, we first translate the polytope by w_i so that the interior vertex is zero, then compute determinants as described at the beginning of the section. Since the determinant of the matrix formed by $v_1 + w_i, v_2 + w_i, \ldots, v_n + w_i, w_0, w_1, \ldots, \widehat{w_i}, \ldots, w_m$ (where all vectors are considered to be embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$) is equal to $q_i p_0$, this determines the type vector for $\mathcal{P} *_i \mathcal{Q}$, and completes our proof. □

This operation is particularly helpful when a simplex has type $(Q_{red}, 1)$, since it is the only simplex of that type. For example, $\Delta_{(1,1,2)}$ can be decomposed as $\Delta_{(1,1)} *_0 \Delta_{(1,1)}$, since we know the $*_0$ operation provides a reflexive simplex of type $((1,1,2),1)$, and there is only one of this type. However, there may be multiple simplices of type (Q_{red}, λ) when $\lambda > 1$, no longer guaranteeing that a simplex decomposes in a particular way. An example would be $((1,2,3,3,9),2)$; there are two simplices of this type, but only one of them can be of the form $\Delta_{(1,2,3)} *_1 \Delta_{(1,2,3)}$. In this case, more checks are needed to identify which simplex decomposes as a free sum.

Our final comment is this: while the free sum operation produces a large number of reflexive polytopes, it appears that these might be rare among the reflexive polytopes with unimodal h^*-vectors. For example, when we randomly generated 1100 eight-dimensional integrally-closed reflexive simplices, all of them had unimodal h^*-vectors, yet none of their type vectors split in the manner given in Theorem 3.2.

References

[1] Christos A. Athanasiadis. Ehrhart polynomials, simplicial polytopes, magic squares and a conjecture of Stanley. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 583:163–174, 2005.

[2] Victor V. Batyrev. Dual polyhedra and mirror symmetry for Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces in toric varieties. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 3(3):493–535, 1994.

[3] Matthias Beck and Serkan Hoşten. Cyclotomic polytopes and growth series of cyclotomic lattices. *Math. Res. Let.*, 13(4):607–622, 2006.

[4] Matthias Beck, Pallavi Jayawant, and Tyrrell B. McAllister. Lattice-point generating functions for free sums of convex sets. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, 120(6):1246 – 1262, 2013.

[5] Christian Bey, Martin Henk, and Jörg M. Wills. Notes on the roots of Ehrhart polynomials. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 38(1):81–98, 2007.

[6] Benjamin Braun. An Ehrhart series formula for reflexive polytopes. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 13(1):Note 15, 5 pp. (electronic), 2006.

[7] Winfried Bruns and Tim Römer. h-vectors of Gorenstein polytopes. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 114(1):65–76, 2007.

[8] Heinke Conrads. Weighted projective spaces and reflexive simplices. *Manuscripta Math.*, 107(2):215–227, 2002.

[9] Eugène Ehrhart. Sur les polyèdres rationnels homothétiques à n dimensions. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 254:616–618, 1962.

[10] Joseph Gubeladze. Convex normality of rational polytopes with long edges. *Adv. Math.*, 230(1):372–389, 2012.

[11] Christian Haase and Ilarion V. Melnikov. The reflexive dimension of a lattice polytope. *Ann. Comb.*, 10(2):211–217, 2006.

[12] Takayuki Hibi. *Algebraic Combinatorics on Convex Polytopes*. Carslaw Publications, Australia, 1992.

[13] Takayuki Hibi. Dual polytopes of rational convex polytopes. *Combinatorica*, 12(2):237–240, 1992.

[14] Takayuki Hibi, Akihiro Higashitani, and Nan Li. Hermite normal forms and δ-vectors. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 119(6):1158–1173, 2012.

[15] Akihiro Higashitani. Counterexamples of the conjecture on roots of Ehrhart polynomials. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 47(3):618–623, 2012.

[16] Maximilian Kreuzer and Harald Skarke. Complete classification of reflexive polyhedra in four dimensions. *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.*, 4(6):1209–1230, 2000.

[17] Jeffrey C. Lagarias and Günter M. Ziegler. Bounds for lattice polytopes containing a fixed number of interior points in a sublattice. *Canad. J. Math.*, 43(5):1022–1035, 1991.

[18] Mircea Mustaţă and Sam Payne. Ehrhart polynomials and stringy Betti numbers. *Math. Ann.*, 333(4):787–795, 2005.

[19] Hidefumi Ohsugi and Takayuki Hibi. Special simplices and Gorenstein toric rings. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 113(4):718–725, 2006.

[20] Sam Payne. Ehrhart series and lattice triangulations. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 40(3):365–376, 2008.

[21] Jan Schepers and Leen Van Langenhoven. Unimodality questions for integrally closed lattice polytopes. *Annals of Combinatorics*, pages 1–19, 2013.

[22] Richard P. Stanley. Decompositions of rational convex polytopes. *Ann. Discrete Math.*, 6:333–342, 1980. Combinatorial mathematics, optimal designs and their applications (Proc. Sympos. Combin. Math. and Optimal Design, Colorado State Univ., Fort Collins, Colo., 1978).

[23] Richard P. Stanley. Log-concave and unimodal sequences in algebra, combinatorics, and geometry. In *Graph theory and its applications: East and West (Jinan, 1986)*, volume 576 of *Ann. New York Acad. Sci.*, pages 500–535. New York Acad. Sci., New York, 1989.

Department of Mathematics, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY 40506–0027
E-mail address: benjamin.braun@uky.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY 40506–0027
E-mail address: davis.robert@uky.edu